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## Improvement Plan

- Improve processes through collaboration
- Cross-functional flowcharts
- Matching narrative
- Advanced transportation routing using transportation software
- Efficient use of capacity and time available
- Optimal packaging of bus runs for each school to bus routes (morning or afternoon)
- Combine more nonpublic schools onto each bus
- Review policies/guidelines and practices that govern transportation cost and level of service offered
- Estimate potential savings of all cost reduction strategies


## Future Considerations

- Advanced transportation routing using transportation software
- Continued refinement
- Nonpublic-negotiate revised bell times allowing more combination
- Review all walking zones


## Pain Gain Analysis

## Low Pain/ High Gain

Combine nonpublic schools on fewer buses
Improve assignment of bus runs to routes
Utilize capacity fully
Equalize ride time
Adjust bell times of nonpublic schools

High Pain/ High Gain
Increase walking zones
Nonpublic ride with public to transfer points then shuttle to their nonpublic school

PAIN

High Pain/ Low Gain
Eliminate mid-day runs
Reduce work-study runs
Reduce or eliminate late runs

## Process Improvement

Processes and Procedures Documented in Narrative and Matching Flowchart

- New Student Added to Transportation System
- Trip Request
- Late Arrival to School or Home
- Athletic Event-Scheduling and Cancellation
- Bus Routing
- Bus Stop Location
- Calculating Buses Required for Each School
- Assignment of Bus Runs to Routes
- Efficiency Evaluation
- Administration/School Board Approval
- Work Study Bus Runs-Scheduling
- Rider Discipline
- Annual Report to PDE
- Contract Administration-Transportation
- Notifying Riders/Parents of Bus Assignment
- Bus Stop or Route Change Request
- Ordering Diesel Fuel
- Bus Evacuation Drills


## Field Trip Request



## Cost of Current Bus Contract with First Student

- Daily rates- $\$ 225$ to $\$ 305 /$ day depending on seating capacity
- Extra runs (mid-day Kindergarten, Work Study Runs for Special Education Students)-\$56.38/day
- Potential cost savings for 2016-17
- Reducing 4 buses due to more efficient routing and better use of seating capacity
- Reduce 2 buses due to better assignment of runs to routes
- Reduce 1 or 2 buses by combining more nonpublic schools on same bus
- Total-7 buses at $\$ 45,000$ each equals $\$ 315,000$.


## Reroute Overlapping Bus Routes for Efficiency



## Reroute Overlapping Bus Routes for Efficiency



## Morning timeline chart

Improving assignment of runs to routes

- Each row represents a bus.
- Each column represents 10 minutes between 6:30 a.m. and 9:30 a.m.
- Each color represents a school
- Purple-High School
- Light Blue-Arcola
- Dark Blue-Skyview
- Green-Elementary School
- Yellow-deadhead time from bus garage to first stop and last school dropoff back to bus garage
- White-layover time between school dropoff and first stop for next school


Arrowhead Elementary School
Use of Seating Capacity
(blue=capacity, orange=riders)


Arrowhead Elementary School
Riders and Time


Audubon Elementary School
Use of Seating Capacity
(blue=capacity, orange=riders)


Audubon Elementary School Riders and Time


Eagleville Elementary School
Use of Seating Capacity
(blue=capacity, orange=riders)


Eagleville Elementary School
Riders and Time


Woodland Elementary School
(blue=capacity, orange=riders)


Woodland Elementary School
Riders and Time


Worcester Elementary School
(blue=capacity, orange=riders)


Worcester Elementary School Riders and Time


High School
Use of Seating Capacity
(blue=capacity, orange=riders)


High School
Use of Seats and Time


## Summary-Use of Seating Capacity

|  | Seating Capacity <br> (2.5 elementary <br> 2.0 secondary) | Riders | \% Utilization | Excess <br> Capacity | Target- <br> buses to <br> reduce |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Arrowhead | 398.4 | 288 | $72 \%$ | 110 | 2 |
| Audobon | 472 | 424 | $90 \%$ | 48 | 1 |
| Eagleville | 421.6 | 283 | $67 \%$ | 139 | 2 |
| Woodland | 302.4 | 232 | $77 \%$ | 70 | 1 |
| Worcester | 526.4 | 343 | $65 \%$ | 183 | 3 |
| Arcola | 1062.62 | 797 | $75 \%$ | 266 | 4 |
| Skyview | 860.28 | 725 | $56 \%$ | 135 | 2 |
| High School | 1505.49 | 1781 | $79 \%$ | -276 | 0 |

Note: Eliminating a bus run saves only labor and fuel. Labor savings benefits contractor but not the District without a contract revision. To eliminate a bus saving $\$ 42,000+$ requires eliminating a bus run in each tier.

Number of Buses Used In Morning




## Sample Implementation Schedule

- March 2016
- Verify accuracy of all data in BusBoss transportation software
- Streamline all processes
- Communicate improvement plan to transportation department and administration
- April/May 2016
- Review bus stops for possible consolidation
- Reroute buses using the new target numbers for each school
- Test new bus routes
- Conduct ridership study at high school to determine percent of students who ride buses
- June 2016
- Combine more nonpublic schools onto same buses
- July 2016
- Assign bus routes to drivers
- Drivers take trial run
- School board approves bus routes
- August 2016
- Notify riders/parents
- Last week-assign 2 individuals to assist with phone calls (develop approved answers to frequently asked questions)


## Sample Implementation Schedule

- Fall 2016
- Recap implementation of improvements for 2016-17
- Verify accuracy of all map, travel speed, and time data in BusBoss transportation software
- Refines selected processes-routing
- Persuade nonpublic schools to coordinate bell times to facilitate more schools served by same buses
- Review walking zones
- Conduct ridership study at high school to determine percent of students who ride buses (between sports seasons to get maximum riders)
- January/February 2017
- Review additional bus stops for possible consolidation
- Conduct ridership study at high school to determine percent of students who ride buses
- June 2017
- Combine more nonpublic schools onto same buses
- July 2017
- Assign bus routes to drivers
- Drivers take trial run
- School board approves bus routes
- August 2017
- Notify riders/parents
- Last week-assign 2 individuals to assist with phone calls (develop approved answers to frequently asked questions)


## School Board and Administrative Guidelines Needed

- Bus stop location guidelines
- Transporting nonpublic and public riders on same bus from neighborhoods to transfer point
- Maximum ride time-in district for public schools, out of district for nonpublic schools
- Seating capacity-2.5 riders/seat for elementary, 2.0 riders/seat for secondary compared to manufacturer's rating of 3.0 riders/seat

